

5-1-1989

Student Pre-Surveys as Bibliographic Instruction Tools


Tony Amodeo

Loyola Marymount University, tony.amodeo@lmu.edu

Repository Citation

Amodeo, Tony, "Student Pre-Surveys as Bibliographic Instruction Tools" (1989). *LMU Librarian Publications & Presentations*. 16.
http://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/librarian_pubs/16

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the William H. Hannon Library at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in LMU Librarian Publications & Presentations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.



Longleat House, Warminster, Wiltshire, England.

From an Original Colour-Photograph.

Fig. 9. Library, Longleat House, Warminster, Wiltshire, England.

at Agnes Scott College's Carnegie Library (from the Marjorie Markoff Collection); the black and white view card of the "Library in Academic Hall" of the U.S. Naval Academy in Annapolis (also from the Markoff Collection); and the rare early color view of the Cornell University Library discussed above (again a prized item from the Markoff Collection).

Baltimore's best is the golden interior of the Peabody Library, Peabody Institute (Fig. 10). It is truly one of the great interior spaces of any academic library in the world; some would say one of this country's great interior spaces!

Nor is there space to explore various other theories concerning academic library postcards, such as the Molesworthian one that the number of postcards of an academic library is in inverse proportion to the functional qualities of the building—with the Sterling Library at Yale and the Low Library at Columbia clearly demonstrating the point. The author instead invites his colleagues who care about library postcards (interior, exterior, academic, public or whatever) to pursue that and other ideas in future articles in this and equally appropriate journals.

Postscript

It is impossible to list the many donors (some as far away as Brazil) who responded to the plea for postals by the author in his first article. He, how-




Fig. 10. Interior, Peabody Library, Peabody Institute, Baltimore.


ever, has written his thanks to each person for the 198 postcards of libraries which he received. Twenty-four of the cards were of interiors, with the most exquisite gift being ten photocards of the Sterling Library at Yale University from Gay Walker, curator of the arts of the Book (see Fig. 1 for one of the more active and probably the innermost of the cards: the fountain in the Yale Librarian's Courtyard). She and the other donors are also thanked publicly for their largess with such gems as the "Nyack Public Library, Nyack on the Hudson, New York," framed in living color with lovely blue forget-me-nots; and the "Rosenberg Day, Rosenberg Library, Galveston, Texas" postcard with many citizens and their children proudly posed in front of the building. Public thanks are also grate-

fully extended to two continuing donors of many postals: Frederick Duda and James Davis.

Second plea

As announcers on radio programs continually repeat: "Keep those cards and letters coming!" The author asks for more. He received cards and letters from old and new friends along with library postcards. He continues to welcome postcards (academic interior or exterior), questions and comments mailed to him at the Kuhn Library and Gallery, UMBC, Baltimore, MD 21228. He again promises to send you a library postcard in return, but probably not an interior.

■ ■



INNOVATIONS

Student pre-surveys as bibliographic instruction tools

By Tony Amodeo

Bibliographic Instruction Coordinator
Loyola Marymount University

It happens again and again to every BI librarian-lecturer: "Who is my audience? To whom am I talking?" You are called in on relatively short notice—yes, policy says "two weeks advance notice," but when your mission is to reach as many students as possible, you almost always give in. You know you will be faced with a jumble of lower and upper division students, some of whom have had the orientation tour, the freshman library lecture, and perhaps one or two presentations in their other classes, some of whom have not known there was a library on campus—or so it seems. You try to pump the teacher for information, but this one is the type that is almost never reachable on campus, and who sends a student assistant with a scribbled note that they want a library lecture on the day they will be in Frederickton, N.B., for a conference.

At such moments, a good solution may be to hand to the student assistant a stack of short but

well thought-out survey forms to be given to the class, filled out, collected and sent to you by the teacher or the assistant. Such a form can be of help in a number of ways.

With a little thought, the survey can warm up the class to a library lecture. It can let them identify some of the issues you will address, and some aspects of the library to begin thinking about. A survey can request feedback on problems encountered in the library, employing a list of possible problems to be ticked off, plus room for comments and suggestions. It can also solicit their expectations and their "wish-lists" regarding the material to be covered, which can be very helpful in designing the lecture for this specific audience.

Answers from the survey can tell you a lot about the level of library understanding in the class, e.g., whether or not the students have had previous lectures, a tour, or no presentation at all; what level of

library experience/understanding the students have, as well as the major areas of insecurity or concern for individual students.

Survey answers can also tell you, directly or indirectly, some useful things about your library. For example, if students can't find the reference desk, is signage adequate? Should there be a better map of the library? If students feel ignored even if they ask for help, is overall service what it should be? Are student assistants misdirecting people? Are there some personnel who are less than helpful? Should instructions for automated equipment, the catalog, individual service locations be more clear?

In an anonymous survey, students often tell you more than you asked to know; comments often come more from personal feelings and individual experiences than as a direct answer to your survey questions. You may also begin to identify faculty who give the library short shrift or bad press, since students often absorb teachers' peeves and biases by osmosis. Such evidence can be useful in spurring some action on the part of the library to address faculty problems—or problem faculty. Sometimes a simple update will do; sometimes re-education may be necessary, especially for some who have not gotten any of your library's dust on their feet since the last revision of their lecture notes twenty years ago.

Careful writing of the survey can give forth statistics useful to the BI program, and to the library

as a whole. If a computer program were written to correlate elements of the individual survey forms, good statistical evidence supporting a BI program might emerge. For example, the number and type of problems encountered could be correlated to the number, level, or absence of previous BI lectures or tours; student level might be correlated with attitude, evaluation of lectures, or type of problems encountered. (If anyone has, and is willing to share such a computer program, please let me—and the editor of *C&RL News*—know!)

Of course, anonymous surveys and evaluations have their drawbacks; some students will tell things on an anonymous written form that they would never tell in person—which obviously has its repercussions in the form of spurious, comic, or deviant comments. But sometimes students have creative insights that can cut through academic insulation—and that fog of professional prejudice-by-habit.

Best of all, using a survey's results at the beginning of your lecture is a good way to gain confidence (you're not coming at them cold); to get attention; to give the class the feeling (one would hope, based in reality) that you care about their individual problems; and to keep them listening for the solution to the problem they wrote about—which your talk probably would have addressed in any case, but which now is perceptively tailored to your audience as individuals.

Innovative use of in-house current awareness profiles as a guide for collection development in a pharmaceutical library

By Daniel T. Law

Senior Information Specialist
Smith Kline & French Laboratories, King of Prussia, Pa.

If the basic tenor of collection development (CD) is the identification and procurement of resources in support of current and anticipated patron needs, then it becomes the primary responsibility of the CD librarian to first assess those needs, and then to select and acquire resources for the meeting of those needs. However, CD librarians are often met with distrust, particularly from faculty colleagues who often are mildly suspicious of their qualifications as "book selectors" ("Are they really qualified?"), or their "methods of selection" ("How do they choose their books anyway?"). The problem stems largely from the imprecise nature of their work. Granted, CD is not an exact science; but it need not become an entirely subjective and

arbitrary undertaking either.

Perhaps what is needed is a heightened awareness on the part of collection developers to put CD on a more objective and "scientific" foundation. Such a feat is not as impossible as it may appear. Collection development has at least two attributes which qualify it as "scientific." They are: controllability and quantifiability. Collection development is controllable, to the extent that its activities can be efficiently directed towards the attainment of desired objectives.¹ It is quantifiable, because

¹R. L. Ackoff, et al., *Scientific Method: Optimizing Applied Research Decisions* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1962), 3.

KEY WORD/KEY PHRASE GUIDE TO COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT INCORPORATING ASCA/ASCATOPICS PROFILE TERMS

R&D Library, SK&F

Month of Jan, 1989

E. Gastrointestinal

- . General
- . Diarrheal disease/Crohn's disease/gastroesophageal reflux disease/irritable bowel syndrome/inflammatory bowel disease
- . Constipation/colitis/inflammation
- . Cytoprotection
- . Enteric nervous system
- . Serotonin & spiroperidol binding
- . Ileocecal/ileo/ileal/smooth muscle/myoelectric/sphincter/pylor/
- . Gastric emptying/motility/intestinal transit time
- . Ion transport/intestinal secretion transport/sodium, chloride flux/protassium channel
- . Protein kinase/phosphodiesterase/gastrin/kinase inhibition/phosphorylation
- . Colon cancer
- . Liver/stomach/gastrointestinal/bowel
- . Stimulus secretion coupling/interleukins/Fce receptor

65, 70, 85, 76, 88, 40, 110

45, 72, 62, 84, 110, 150

67, 54

42, 60, 51

51, 76, 82, 90, 56

78, 61, 48, 95, 122

100, 92, 65, 70

110, 60, 76, 54, 100, 82, 26

G. Pharmaceuticals/Drug Design

- . General
- . Pharmaceuticals/pharmacodynamics
- . Drug metabolism/absorption/transport/release/controlled release
- . Bioavailability/drug disposition/stability/detox/
- . Excretion/elimination/enzyme induction/demethylation
- . Degradation/dissolution/absorption/blood & saliva level
- . Drug delivery/peptides/devices
- . Biosensors/artificial beta cell & pancreas/Kupffer cell/implant pump/liposomes
- . Dosage forms/coating/spansule/drug carriers/transdermal/aerosol/transcytosis/placental transfer
- . Structure-activity relationship
- . Drug design/prodrug/molecular modelling
- . Automated synthesis
- . Molecular orbital calculation

80, 62, 72, 82, 26, 38

75, 80, 65

85, 76, 60, 66, 65, 75, 52

48, 76

89, 72, 60

45, 54, 60, 67, 85

75, 85

110, 120, 25, 45, 87

65, 70, 85, 65, 54